Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/581,670	BACQUET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Trinh Vo Dinh	2821
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Sylvain Bacquet (Attorney).	(3)	
(2) Trinh V. Dinh (Primary Examiner).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2009.		
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☑ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.		
Identification of prior art discussed: 6.512.494.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\square$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed claim 1 over cited at 6.512.494</u> . The Attorney will submit amendment for reconsideration.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REFLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE UNITERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 7304, H is nept to the last Office action has already been fleid, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALING DATE OF THIS UNITERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on verses side or on attached sheet.		

/Trinh Vo Dinh/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2821

U.S. Petent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)